eRHIC IR Design Meeting

Minutes for Friday, July 26, 2019

Present: Holger Witte (Chair), Elke Aschenauer, Kyle G. Capobianco-Hogan, Bill Christie, Christoph Montag, Brett Parker, Steven Tepikian

Agenda

1	Update on electron Matching—Stephen T.	1
2	Simulation of Transverse Electron Beam Tails—Christoph	2
3	Task List for CD1 & Milestones—Holger W.	2
4	Next Meeting4.1 Draft Agenda	2 3

1 Update on electron Matching—Stephen T.

Title: "Electron Storage Ring Version 5.1" File: esr-ring-update-version-5p1.pdf

- 1. Kink in ESR survey around 4.5 rad (IP12) may be too close to yellow ring [slide 4].
- 2. Correctors are not yet in [slide 7].
- 3. Next version will change Arc-7 back to F-cells and use phase trombones in IP8 [slide 8].
- 4. 30 T/m max for quads in short solenoid section (for 10 GeV beam) [slide 9].
- 5. Christoph: Need to reduce the number of different types of quads.
- 6. Christoph: Asked Alexei to look into Ferdinand's cancelation idea, but he'll need a design with both IR6 and IR8 squeezed (not just IR6).
- 7. Bill: Holger can add vetting matching solutions from a practical standpoint to task list.
- 8. Emittance higher than we'd like at 33.9 nm [slide 17].
- 9. W chromatic function higher than he'd like [slide 19].
- 10. PTC gives slightly different chromaticity.
- 11. Bill: The emittance we end up with for 18 GeV will need to be recorded in parameter table [slide 24].

- 12. Bill: Milestone for parameter table to be fixed some number of months before internal CD1 review for simulations.
- 13. Damping time shown on slide 24 is transverse, not longitudinal.
- 14. Holger: Push quads harder instead of lengthening them.
- 15. Elke: Needs IR12 layout to find out where "[her] poor lepton polarimeter" can fit.

2 Simulation of Transverse Electron Beam Tails—Christoph

Title: "Simulation of Transverse Electron Beam Tails" File: electron-tails-26July2019.pdf

- 1. Non-Gaussian tails from beam-beam synchrotron radiation [slide 6].
- 2. Can collimate tails beyond 15σ away.

3 Task List for CD1 & Milestones—Holger W.

Title: "pCDR To CDR Updates" File: 2019-07-26_IRMeetingCDR.pdf

- 1. Synchrotron radiation fans in pCDR are based on incorrect emittance values; the real syn. rad. fans don't actually fit in apertures [slide 8].
- 2. "Bob's 'worst case'" is an artificial worst case that is actually worse than any of the real cases from Vadim's parameter table [slides 9–10].
- 3. Elke: One of her guys will simulate the syn. rad.
 - (a) Elke: Syn. rad. is important enough to have three different simulations to cross-check.
- 4. Task list is available online (here). Need estimated time. [slide 2]
- 5. Licensing issue needs to be sorted out [slide 4].
- 6. Not sure why the model won't converge when similar test cases did [slide 5].
- 7. Double helix code—a python implementation of code for Opera's internal scripting language from Vector Fields—is old, difficult to maintain, and contains a major bug. It will probably need to be rewritten. [slide 7]
- 4 Next Meeting: Friday, August 9, 2019 from 2:30 to "3:30" p.m.

4.1 Draft Agenda

- 1. Simulation Update—Elke, et al.
- 2. Update on Plan and Resources to Get to CD1—Holger
- 3. Functional Impact of Decreasing y-Divergence of Electron and Hadron Beams on Luminosity—Vadim
- 4. Any Other Business