A proximity-focusing RICH for the ePIC electron endcap

BNL
Duke
INFN Trieste
MSU
Stony Brook
[IJS Ljubljana]
…

Detector concept

- Recycle pfRICH concept & simulation materials from the ATHENA EIC proposal
  - A “simple” proximity focusing RICH
  - n ~ 1.020 – 1.050 aerogel (perhaps in a two-layer configuration)
  - ~40 cm long expansion volume
- Convert it into a pfRICH+LAPPD configuration ...
- ... complemented by a high-performance electronics to provide ~10ps timing reference in addition to imaging

Yellow Report requirement:
3σ π/K separation up to 7 GeV/c





Design considerations

Aerogel

ATHENA configuration: <n> ~ 1.019, acrylic filter with a 350nm cutoff, SiPMs with a peak QE ~ 450 nm -> $\langle N_{pe} \rangle$ ~ 10

- Consider a different strategy for ePIC pfRICH (similar to Belle II)
  - Rely on aerogel with a higher refractive index and higher transparency in the near UV range
  - Do not use any acrylic filter
  - Fully exploit HRPPD UV QE range
- EIC project meeting with M.Tabata (Chiba University) in December 2022:
  - Belle II - like aerogel can be produced
  - Refractive index up to ~1.05 (ideally: 1.03)
  - Tile size up to ~20cm
  - Smaller sizes can probably even be manufactured with transparent tile sides



Photosensors: HRPPDs by Incom Inc.

- Low dark count rate and easier integration (as compared to SiPMs)
- High single photon timing resolution
- Low cost (as compared to other MCP-PMTs)
- Should work well in a ~1.7 T field
- High resolution t_0 comes as a bonus (provides by photons produced in the quartz window)







- Most part of the active LAPPD R&D for EIC is done by the pfRICH-affiliated institutions

Acceptance boundaries optimization

ATHENA configuration



ePIC configuration



- No reason to lose acceptance *in* η
  - (1) Increase aerogel radius all the way up to ~R_{max}
  - (2) Install a side wall mirror at ~R_{max}
- No reason to lose acceptance *on the sensor plane*
  - Use conical mirrors at ~R_{min} & ~R_{max}



Geometric efficiency for a t_0 reference

High energy charged particle will produce dozens of p.e.'s *in the HRPPD window*

charged particle (missing the "active" area)



- Even that the HRPPD active area (the photocathode and the MCP stack) is much smaller than the tile footprint, the Cherenkov light cone spot in a 5 mm thick (quartz) window has a base of ~11 mm diameter
  - By making the edge area reflective and / or tapered and / or perhaps just relying on a TIR, one should be able to gain timing performance over the whole surface, even though with a degraded resolution towards the tile edges, apparently

Tiling a flat sensor surface without gaps must be a clear benefit

Geometric efficiency for imaging



- *If really needed*, one should be able to “save” the Cherenkov photons, which would otherwise miss the photocathode, by funneling them away from the sensor dead area
  - The IRT-based reconstruction procedure is already adjusted to handle such cases

Sensor pixellation

- Given the anticipated ring diameter and <n_{pe}>, expect average hit separation of ~5 cm









- Capacitively coupled LAPPDs with 4 mm pixellation are good enough to achieve single photon ring radius resolution ~600 μm (beam test data), even without signal pre-amplification

Consider pixel size of ~4 mm as a [temporary] design choice

Integration model

Readout electronics concept



AARDVARC V3 evaluation board



- Assume 24x24 HRPPD pixellation suffices (~4.2mm pads) -> 576 pixels per ~12x12 cm^2 footprint
- A hybrid of Nalu Scientific UDC and AARDVARC v4 chips assumed as a “reference ASIC”
  - Shown: 16-channel ASICs assumed (would be better to have 32- or 64-channel ones, of course)
  - ~10GS/s digitizer, ~2GHz ABW, feature extraction, streaming capability (whatever it means), etc.
  - 0dB buffer amplifier (12 mW/ch) available in ARRDVARC V4 -> need a similar solution for a ~20dB preamp
  - Few kW of power dissipation for the whole pfRICH-like system seems to be a realistic estimate

A coordinate effort with eRD109 and other PID subsystems is required

Boundary conditions in the ePIC e-endcap



Inner radius	~59 mm
Outer radius	~650 mm
Total length	~540 mm

- Must fit into the DIRC support frame



- Limited length along the beam line
- Severe constraints around the beam pipe

Integration model

Sensor plane tiling scheme





by Alex Eslinger

- A detailed pfRICH CAD model exists
  - Vessel, aerogel, mirrors, sensor plane, electronics mockup
- Services layout and installation procedure require more work

Services example: HV distribution

by Saverio Minutoli





- 68 HRPPD tiles total
- 5 HV levels + ground per tile
- Therefore, need at most ten cables and 52-pin connectors (with spares)

GEANT implementation

Standalone GEANT environment

- Vessel: full available length (54 cm), starting at Z = -1187mm
- Gas volume filled with nitrogen
- Aerogel: 2 cm thick, segmented in <20 cm blocks
- <n> ~ 1.044 (Belle II parameterization)
- No acrylic filter



- Sensor plane at 12 cm from the rear side of the vessel
- Detailed HRPPD description (window, photocathode layer)
- QE plot as provided by Incom + 70% safety factor
- Tile segmentation matching suggested HRPPD formfactor
- Active area 80% of the tile footprint, as suggested by Incom for future HRPPD models

- IRT: conical & pyramid mirrors (and multiple optical paths per sensor) implemented

Accumulated Cherenkov ring images



Full ~240mm diameter rings at η = -2.5

η = -2.0: part of the ring is reflected by a conical mirror





by Chandra Chatterjee

Default configuration: with inner and outer conical mirrors, but no pyramid ones

Performance plot examples

A combination of a more UV-transparent aerogel and HRPPD UV-extended QE spectrum can be a winning strategy, even that π/K gap at high momenta gets smaller as compared to the ATHENA case (<n> ~ 1.019, SiPM peak QE @ 450 nm)



by Chandra Chatterjee



>7 σ π/K separation @ 7 GeV/c



Fallback options

- In case ...
  - Tracker requests some space back (and pfRICH ends up with <40cm long expansion volume)
  - HRPPD *PDE* turns out to be substantially smaller than ~30%
  - A higher level of π/K separation at and above 7 GeV/c is required

- ... one can also consider *more sophisticated extensions*
  - Flat funneling mirrors in the acceptance
  - Dual aerogel configuration a la Belle II



  - Fresnel lenses in an open-vessel configuration?

Other studies

Mixed EICrecon / “Delphes” environment

by Kong Tu

- First create Delphes-like PID smearing matrices using standalone GEANT4 detector-level modeling
- Then use EPIC official software stack

- With “eicrecon.root” & access to full reco’d tracks, apply pfRICH *delphes-like* parametrization for PID.
- We can make use of the official simulation campaign files (single particle, DIS, SIDIS, etc.)



https://github.com/KongTu/EICreconOutputReader

Work in progress by Kong, Jan Vanek and Chandra

Magnetic field @ HRPPD location

by Zhengqiao Zhang



- Tolerance to the magnetic field *strength* is not the whole story
  - Field *direction* should be reasonably aligned with the normal to sensor surface

- Oba et al., 1981



Fig. 11. Dependency of the output degradation in F4129 on the off-axis magnetic field.



Fig. 10. Output degradation in three MCP-PMTs in the off-axis magnetic field.



pfRICH: field-to-sensor-normal angle

Direct measurements at Argonne will be done in March

Occupancy studies

Particle Pairwise Distance: -4 < η < -1

Blue = distance between each pair of particles in acceptance
Red = distance between closest two particles in acceptance
Green = same as red, but for events with electron in acceptance





- Distance is in x-y plane at a z position of -1700 mm from the interaction point

by Brian Page

Summary

- Work on the proximity focusing RICH for ePIC e-endcap is well advanced
  - Design choices
  - GEANT simulations
  - CAD model and integration
  - Several other accompanying studies

- We will be certainly ready for the March Collaboration review

Indico category: https://indico.bnl.gov/category/458/

Mailing list: eic-projdet-pfrich-l@lists.bnl.gov

Backup

Wavelength range

- Is it really hopeless to work with aerogel in a deep UV range?





HRPPD 126 QE curve

Belle II aerogel#1 in pfRICH GEANT simulations




```
<Property name="ABSLENGTH" unit="eV">
  <value energy="7.75">1.64386</value>
  <value energy="6.88889">5.77248</value>
  <value energy="5.91945">11.8578</value>
  <value energy="5.42017">15.8411</value>
  <value energy="5.17722">21.314</value>
```

~5mm @ 180nm (units: [mm])

$$\frac{dE}{dx} = 4\pi^2 e^2 \int_{\beta n>1} \frac{1}{\lambda^3}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta^2 n^2}\right) d\lambda$$

~5mm @ 250nm (units: [mm])

```
<Property name="RAYLEIGH" unit="eV">
  <value energy="1.500">495.305</value>
  <value energy="1.675">368.992</value>
  <value energy="1.850">276.148</value>
  <value energy="2.025">208.910</value>
  <value energy="2.200">159.280</value>
  <value energy="2.375">122.311</value>
  <value energy="2.550">94.4909</value>
  <value energy="2.725">73.5915</value>
  <value energy="2.900">58.2796</value>
  <value energy="3.075">46.5131</value>
  <value energy="3.250">37.2842</value>
  <value energy="3.425">30.1133</value>
  <value energy="3.600">24.4282</value>
  <value energy="3.775">19.7740</value>
  <value energy="3.950">16.1085</value>
  <value energy="4.125">13.0108</value>
  <value energy="4.300">10.6804</value>
  <value energy="4.475">8.74212</value>
  <value energy="4.650">7.22615</value>
  <value energy="4.825">6.03070</value>
  <value energy="5.000">5.02443</value>
  <value energy="5.175">4.3785</value>
  <value energy="5.350">3.8331</value>
  <value energy="5.525">3.3701</value>
  <value energy="5.700">2.9749</value>
```

Fig. 2. Transmittance as a function of wavelength for the Belle II RICH aerogel samples of $n = 1.045$ (red) and 1.055 (blue) [2]. The thickness for both samples is 20 mm . (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Obviously, more studies needed

HRPPD re-design effort for EIC

Variety of HRPPD anode base plate pixellation, with 40-pin Samtec connector footprints on the outer side



32 x 32 square pads (present layout)



40 x 40 square pads (DIRC)



24 x 24 square pads (pfRICH)



24 x 24 charge sharing pads (pfRICH)

- Polish ceramic manufacturer (Techtra) can produce such layouts in house
- First iteration will be a test bench HRPPD tile with a mixed layout, to test them all at once
  - AK to provide a final set of drawings for this layout
  - Tooling and fabrication will take 2-3 months

HRPPD re-design effort for EIC

pad (inner) size



connector (outer) side



- Will use existing side walls / windows; pad size tuned to the new active area size of 108 mm
  - Pixellation patterns 24x24, 32x32, 40x40, 48x48, 64x64 + 1D charge cloud profiling field