

TPC Distortion Software

Ross Corliss *for the task force*

Tony Frawley, Sara Kurdi, Joe Osborn, Ananya Paul, Hugo Pereira Da Costa, Christof Roland, Takao Sakaguchi, Evgeny Shulga, Jordan Sprague

Overview $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}$ \mathbf{A} raw simulated

- Integrate and expand spacecharge modeling in Fun4All \cap \cap \cap
- Implement and study calibration of spacecharge distortions through tracking, lasers, and digital current measurements. s a $, \, \cdot$ TEF primaries in the contract of t Generate field and calc \overline{a} la di T \cdots from G4Hits \blacksquare $\overline{}$ $\overline{}$ λ in r \bullet clusters in \sim \sim \sim distorted, \sim uncorrected n Y'

from TTTL Model and Generation combination methods and Calibration

IBF and

distortion and the control of

Generate field

other trackers

geant his second control and the second control and the second control and the second control and the second c

for each

Projects and Milestones

DONE Nearly done In Progress Key:

Model and Generation

• Generate Field Map from MC Spacecharge:

• Swim test particles through binned fields using Langevin Eqn:

Cylindrical Coordinates:
\n $\begin{pmatrix}\n\delta_{FE} \\ r\delta_{\phi B}\n\end{pmatrix}\n=\n\begin{pmatrix}\n\frac{c_0}{c_1} & \frac{c_1}{c_2}\frac{1}{c_2} & \frac{c_2}{c_1} & \frac{c_2}{c_2} & \frac{$

Validating Against Analytic Models

• Heuristic charge with ALICE params produces good match to ALICE distortion:

• Heuristic charge with old sPHENIX params (rebuilt charge model from Carlos's 2016 presentation: total Q=135nC) matches to those predictions:

ALICE projected performance

Updating Expectations for sPHENIX

- 2016 sPHENIX simulations: 90:10 Ar:CF4 gas, drift velocity =4cm/us, B=0.5T ==> **ωτ=0.5**
- New assumptions: 50:50 Ar:CF4 gas, velocity = 8cm/us, B=1.4T ==> **ωτ=2.8**
- Ion pileup will also change, but if we use the same heuristic charge density:

r component of int. distortion vs r with $p=3.054$ and $z=53.629$

MC Spacecharge Maps

• Evgeny Shulga (WIS): realistic gain/IBF maps for the GEMs by sampling ALICE IROC measurements:

MC Spacecharge Maps

- Poisson distribution of events with mean of 50kHz with realistic vertex
- Spacecharge = primary + z-projection \times IBF \times Gain
- Shifted in z by drift speed \times time
- 50:50 Ar:CF4 has 0.5× drift speed, slightly higher primary ionization, GEM gain lowered to keep overall pad signal constant
- Total charge ==> ~40nC (compared to 2016 model's 135nC)

Fields from MC Spacecharge

- Gain map creates noticeable φ structure
- event-to-event fluctuations produce additional φ and z structure

Distortions from MC Spacecharge

• Using ideal z-only B and E fields, distortions << 2016 estimate. 3x less charge, 3x higher B field, doubled drift velocity.

Drifting grid of (rp)=(54 x 82) electrons with 500 steps

Real Fieldmaps

• Phi-Symmetric (1.5 Tesla) B field from non-chimney half of magnet:

Distortions in Real Fieldmaps

- Expect contributions from magnetic field map to dominate
- at $E=400V/cm$, $B=1.4T$, $\omega T \sim 3$, $T_1 = T_2 = 1$ (but we need to measure these)

 $c_0 = \frac{1}{(1 + T_2^2 \omega^2 \tau^2)},$ $c_1 = \frac{T_1 \omega \tau}{(1 + T_1^2 \omega^2 \tau^2)},$ and $c_2 = \frac{T_2^2 \omega^2 \tau^2}{(1 + T_2^2 \omega^2 \tau^2)}$

pt of E Field in the zr plane at p=3.142 (V/cm

z component of E Field in the zr plane at p=3.142 (V/cm)

p

September 18, 2020 **TPC Distortion Software** 13 \overline{z} component of \overline{z} p

Distortions in Real Fieldmaps

• Transverse components of B field dominate distortion

E:externalEfield.ttree.root:fTree, B:sPHENIX.2d.root:fieldmap

SC from file: evgeny_sept/Summary_bX1508071_10_20_events.root:h_Charge_evt_12. Qtot=4.700808E-08 Coulombs. native dims: (159,360,124)(20.0cm,0.0,0.0cm)-(78.0cm Drifting grid of (rp)=(54 x 82) electrons with 500 steps PhiSlice (26 x 40 x 40) with (26 x 1 x 40) roi

vdrift=8.00cm/us, Enom=400.00V/cm, Bnom=1.40T, omtau=-2.8000E+00

Distortions in Fun4All

- Henry Klest (SBU) has implemented reading these distortion maps in Fun4All
- Distortion of TPC hits from MC events (z vertex=54, eta=0) matches input map

r component of int. distortion vs r with $p=-3.063$ and $z=54.069$

Total delta R

Extract Fluctuations

• Subtract off average spacecharge distortion to see distortions due to event-by-event fluctuations:

Surveying Fluctuations

- Fluctuations are correlated across z (particles share partial path)
- (every 20 frames is a complete refresh of the TPC):

Post-hoc slices of integral distortion

Drifting grid of (rp)=(54 x 82) electrons with steps per file

Lookup per file: 15khz_output_B1.5/fluct_rev2/fluct_output.file0.h_Charge_0.real_B-1.5_E-400.0.ross_phi1_sphenix_phislice_lookup_r26xp40xz40.distortion_map.hist.root Gas per file: 15khz_output_B1.5/fluct_rev2/fluct_output.file0.h_Charge_0.real_B-1.5_E-400.0.ross_phi1_sphenix_phislice_lookup_r26xp40xz40.distortion_map.hist.root

Surveying Fluctuations

- Average distortion subtracted from each element of time series. Residuals plotted below
- MC fluctuations at z ~50cm (full 2π) are generally within 100 um over instrumented range
- *• if average distortion is precisely known.*

Simulation Status

- Generated spacecharge models fairly realistic
	- real t and z-vertex distributions
	- spatially-varying gain and IBF
	- still limited by geant thresholds
- Generated distortions are reasonably matched to earlier models, some improvements still desired:
	- Update field maps to full-3D (chimney)
	- Simulate both TPC halves simultaneously (phi distortion from E field will change sign)
	- Study stability vs resolution with realistic inputs
- Observed fluctuations < 100 um on ~1 cm average distortions

Digital Current

- reco IBF model: fixed IBF fraction, charge reco is exact
- Still need to implement smearing and random processes
- reco/true shows \sim 10% difference due to primaries

Residuals with perfect Dig. Current

• Distortion from IBF model without primaries does not get right average shape. (MC Truth)-IBF:

Post-hoc slices of integral distortion

Drifting grid of (rp)=(54 x 82) electrons with steps per file

Lookup per file: temp/fluct_output.file0.h_Charge_0.real_B-1.5_E-400.0.ross_phi1_sphenix_phislice_lookup_r26xp40xz40.distortion_map.hist.root Gas per file: temp/fluct_output.file0.h_Charge_0.real_B-1.5_E-400.0.ross_phi1_sphenix_phislice_lookup_r26xp40xz40.distortion_map.hist.root

Status and Outlook

- Distortion generator and Fun4All integration mature enough to proceed with reconstruction studies.
	- r, phi, and z distortions calculated
	- full distortion and fluctuations from average generated,
	- small $O(100)$ time series sets available
	- continuing to improve and optimize
- Fluctuations about the average distortion seem to be \sim < 100 km
- Developing CM and Digital current reconstruction
- Exploring how well we can reconstruct average distortion
	- Tracks (and line lasers) can directly measure average distortion
	- CM pattern cannot measure static z-dependent structure
	- Digital current without primary model has no z structure, depends on Green's functions.

Further Matching to ALICE

- Flipped field sign swaps phi sign, but magnitudes match to \sim 10%.
- These models don't have extra curvature at large R

sPHENIX reconstruction of ALICE geometry and conditions, 2020

Nomenclature

- Electron from \bullet arrives at \bullet and is naively assumed to have come (assuming straight-line drift) from
- Distortions are δφ(cm) in the Φ-hat direction. δr in the r-hat, δz in the z-hat
- If small, $r\delta\phi \sim \Delta\phi$, $\delta r \sim \Delta r$.

Comparison of Δ vs δ for sPHENIX

• Definitions of φ and R are signficiantly different at small R (large ωτ and hence φ distortions):

Post-hoc slices of distortion. Top row: r-hat, ϕ -hat, z-hat. Bottom row Δ r, $\Delta \phi$, Δz Drifting grid of (rp)=(54 x 82) electrons with steps per file Settings per file: HeuristicSc sPHENIX2020.hist0.flat B1.4 E-400.0.ross phi1 sphenix phislice lookup r26xp40xz40.di Δ R=sqrt((r+ δ r)^2+ δ ϕ ^2)

 $\Delta \phi = r^*$ atan2(δ ϕ , r+δ r)

Comparison of Δ vs δ for ALICE

• Definition of R distortions not very sensitive. Definition of φ is, at small R:

Post-hoc slices of distortion. Top row: r-hat, ϕ -hat, z-hat. Bottom row Δ r, Δ ϕ , Δ z Drifting grid of (rp)=(42 x 34) electrons with steps per file Settings per file: HeuristicSc_ALICE.hist0.flat_B0.5_E-400.2.ross_phi1_alice_phislice_lookup_r20xp16xz20.distortion_map.hist.root Δ R=sqrt((r+ δ r)^2+ δ ϕ ^2) $\Delta \phi = r^* \text{atan2}(\delta \phi, r + \delta r)$

Stripe Reconstruction

Oth Pass Reconstruction

- Code to check if a point is on a stripe and if so, which stripe
- Encountered problem while testing: stripes weren't angled to go through the origin
- Corrected the angle, can now continue with stripe identification
- Next to work on: where is the nearest stripe to the point

Hand-drawn arrows to approximately show that the angle of the stripes wouldn't go through the origin.

Blue hand-drawn arrows connecting to programmed lines to show that angle has been corrected.

Reconstructing Using Central Membrane ϵ $\overline{}$ $\overline{1}$ primaries used to fill $\overline{}$ \blacksquare die die se T maps T $\left(\begin{array}{c} \n\end{array} \right)$ \mathbf{I}

Reco and Calibration

f2 f3 fn+1

- Henry Klest distorting the CM hits in Fun4All
- Sara Kurdi matching them back to particular stripes
- Revising toy code for realistic case

Toy Model of CM Differential Reco

The position of an electron at readout is the sum of the distortion in each z-step along the way. Electrons from the CM stripe pattern integrate over the entire z-column (and tracks over a partial column):

The distortions evolve with the motion of the ions (primary<<IBF):

(improved drawing courtesy Sara Kurdi)

By comparing the reconstructed CM stripe position at two consecutive times, we learn about the portions of the z-column they do not have in common, and can use this to extract differential information about the distortions. The number of iterations where you can link differential information is limited by intrinsic detector resolutions.

Assumptions

- Distortions all move linearly with time (static distortions are okay, but everything in motion has the same velocity)
	- Static B and E distortions can't be measured with this method
- Distortion magnitudes are independent of zposition (distortions do not evolve due to z-position in the tpc, only position relative to spacecharge)
	- Not strictly true. Boundary conditions present
- Perfect Reconstruction -- all electrons are magically associated with their true origin pad...

Real Fieldmaps

- Phi-symmetric simulated E-Field + MC charge map
- Edges come from slightly different dimensions in field and distortion TPC

