### Studies of a Central Membrane for the sPHENIX TPC

## **DNP 2019**

Fall Meeting of the Division of Nuclear Physics of the American Physical Society



Sourav Tarafdar (for sPHENIX) Vanderbilt University October 15, 2019





# sPHENIX Overview

Upgrade of recently concluded PHENIX experiment at RHIC in Brookhaven National Laboratory







# **sPHENIX** Overview

Upgrade of recently concluded PHENIX experiment at RHIC in Brookhaven National Laboratory





## **sPHENIX** Measurements

#### Jet measurements

Complementing LHC measurements at high pT and Low pT measurements at RHIC

SPHENIX











## **sphenix tpc**





5

SPHEN

**Central Membrane Requirements** 

- Capability of holding static high-voltage (40 kV) field with a uniformity within 0.1% in R and Z
- Remain flat and parallel within 0.002"
- Provide a means for laser calibration. (allignment of central membrane will be done using laser)







### **Central Membrane design evolution**

- Initial proposal : Thin stretched copper membrane Disadvantages :
- 1. Not stiff
- 2. Not immune to vibrations.
- 3. More number of HV contact to field cage due to the need of extra potential on the outside of membrane stiffener to smooth the E-field .
- 4. High probability of thermally-induced deflections.





### **Central Membrane design evolution**

### • Initial proposal : Thin stretched copper membrane Disadvantages :

- 1. Not stiff
- 2. Not immune to vibrations.
- 3. More number of HV contact to field cage due to the need of extra potential on the outside of membrane stiffener to smooth the E-field .
- 4. High probability of thermally-induced deflections.

#### • New concept : Thick honeycomb membrane with thin FR4 outer layer

#### **Advantages :**

SPHEN

- 1. Stiff and very flat.
- 2. Immune to vibrations.
- 3. Only ONE HV contact to other field cages.
- 4. Immune to thermally-induced deflections.

0.005" thick G10 Both Sides 0.125" thick honeycomb DETAIL A

SCALE 4.000



### **Central Membrane design evolution**

- Initial proposal : Thin stretched copper membrane
  Disadvantages :
- 1. Not stiff
- 2. Not immune to vibrations.
- 3. More number of HV contact to field cage due to the need of extra potential on the outside of membrane stiffener to smooth the E-field .
- 4. High probability of thermally-induced deflections.

#### • New concept : Thick honeycomb membrane with thin FR4 outer layer

#### **Advantages :**

- 1. Stiff and very flat.
- 2. Immune to vibrations.
- 3. Only ONE HV contact to other field cages.
- 4. Immune to thermally-induced deflections.

#### **Concerns**:

- 1. Mass-in the middle
- 2. Inactive area in the middle along z





SCALE 4.000



### **Central Membrane design evolution**

### • Initial proposal : Thin stretched copper membrane Disadvantages :

- 1. Not stiff
- 2. Not immune to vibrations.
- 3. More number of HV contact to field cage due to the need of extra potential on the outside of membrane stiffener to smooth the E-field .
- 4. High probability of thermally-induced deflections.

#### • New concept : Thick honeycomb membrane with thin FR4 outer layer



Event display with new TPC central membrane



#### Single particle Geant4 simulation :

• Pions within phase space of 0.1 < pT < 20 GeV, |eta| < 1.0 & |z vertex| < 20





#### Event display with new TPC central membrane

















#### **Upsilon line shape study-**

10 Y(1s) per event generated with |zvtx| < 10 cm</li>



#### Jets study (fragmentation function simulation)-

- Jet fragmentation function = Reconstructed track pT matched to truth jet/ truth jet pT
- Pythia generated files were used for Geant simulation.
- Study was done using two different conditions on truth jet selection
  - 1. Truth jet pT > 50 GeV
  - 2. Truth jet pT > 50 GeV & |eta| < 0.1 (jets mostly passing through the TPC central membrane)



### **Central Membrane design evolution**

- Initial proposal : Thin stretched copper membrane Disadvantages :
- 1. Not stiff
- 2. Not immune to vibrations.
- 3. More number of HV contact to field cage due to the need of extra potential on the outside of membrane stiffener to smooth the E-field .
- 4. High probability of thermally-induced deflections.

#### New concept : Thick honeycomb membrane with thin FR4 outer laver



### **Central Membrane design evolution**

- Initial proposal : Thin stretched copper membrane **Disadvantages :**
- Not stiff
- 2. Not immune to vibrations.
- 3. More number of HV contact to field cage due to the need of extra potential on the outside of membrane stiffener to smooth the E-field.
- 4. High probability of thermally-induced deflections.

#### New concept : Thick honeycomb membrane with thin FR4 outer laver



Single electron Geant4 simulation (electron loss due to hard bremsstrahlung radiation) :

• Electrons generated with z vertex = 5 cm, |phi| < pi, pT = 4 GeV, -0.12 < eta < -0.08 (tracks passing through central membrane)



- TPC membrane with Cu layer has wider sigma.
- More loss of electron due to additional hard bremsstrahlung radiation on central membrane with Cu layer.





#### Upsilons line shape study-

• 30 Y(1s) per event embedded in 100 pions per event generated with |zvtx| < 10 cm, |eta| < 1.0



## Conclusions

- The new concept of TPC central membrane doesn't affect sPHENIX Physics program.
- Stiffer.
- Less issues with implementing HV contact.
- Will provide more reliable performance for sPHENIX TPC due to its immunity to vibrations and thermally-induced deflections.



