Distorted Seeds Joe Osborn January 17, 2021

Simulation Overview

- Ran 2 pion (one positive one negative) simulation only, no embedding
- Same random seed for three cases
 - 1. No distortions
 - 2. Static distortions
 - 3. Beam induced distortions
- Maps used shown here for archival purposes



```
G4TPC::ENABLE STATIC DISTORTIONS = true;
 G4TPC::ENABLE CORRECTIONS = true;
 // Beam induced distortions
 G4TPC::static distortion filename = distortion;
 G4TPC::correction filename = distortioncorrection;
 //G4TPC::static distortion filename = "/phenix/u/hpereira/sphenix/work/g4simulations/
istortion maps-new/average minus static distortion converted.root";
 //G4TPC::correction_filename = "/phenix/u/hpereira/sphenix/work/g4simulations/distort
Ion maps-new/average minus static distortion inverted 4.root";
 /// Static distortions
 //G4TPC::static_distortion_filename = "/star/u/rcorliss/sphenix/trackingStudySampleNo"
```

```
/2021/static only.distortion map.hist.root";
 //G4TPC::correction filename = "/phenix/u/hpereira/sphenix/work/g4simulations/distort
ion maps-new/static only inverted 10-new.root";
```



Example Tracks

- Next 7 slides show some example track seeds
- with and without the effect of the TPC cluster mover

I put these together just so that I could see what the track seeds look like

Example Uncorrected Tracks y vs x



Uncorrected Clusters



Example Uncorrected Tracks y vs x



Uncorrected Clusters



Example Corrected Tracks y vs x



Corrected clusters with PHTpcMover

6

Example Corrected Tracks y vs x

40





Corrected clusters with PHTpcMover 7

Example Uncorrected Tracks r vs z



8

Example Uncorrected Tracks r vs z



9

Example Corrected Tracks r vs z



Corrected clusters with PHTpcMover

All cluster analysis i.e. with ntp_cluster

Clusters Per Layer

- Tend to have more reconstructed clusters per layer in static distorted events
- Beam induced very similar to no distortion case
- Most pronounced difference in middle TPC layers
- Less apparent in outer TPC layers, some difference in innermost layers



layer



- At a global glance the number of TPC clusters per event seems relatively stable for each case
- However zooming in to the predominant 2 particle peak (i.e. ~94 clusters per event, 47 per track) shows they are weighted higher for the static distortion case

NTPC Clusters



- At a global glance the number of TPC clusters per event seems relatively stable for each case
- However zooming in to the predominant 2 particle peak (i.e. ~94 clusters per event, 47 per track) shows they are weighted higher for the static distortion case

NTPC Clusters



ntpcclus/event

Cluster Sizes

- Cluster size distribution slightly broadened in static distorted case
- Note these findings are only in reconstructed cluster case.
 - Truth cluster (ntp_g4cluster) distributions look the same across no, beam induced, and static distortion cases





cluster size

Track seed analysis i.e. with ntp_gtrack

Seed Track Parameters



• Seed track parameters look similar amongst all cases

Layer Selections

<ntpc>

- Following histograms are filled with the following procedure:
 - Fill (e.g.) "ntpc:gpt" TH2
 - Project each bin to y axis and get mean and ulletmean error of TH1 histogram
 - Plot these mean+mean errors as a function of p_T
- Static distorted seeds typically have larger number of TPC layers found
- At low p_T there does not appear to be a systematic difference
 - Probably why the p_T resolution "spreads" out at higher p_T





Layer Selections

- Following histograms are filled with the following procedure:
 - Fill (e.g.) "ntpc:gpt" TH2
 - Project each bin to y axis and get mean and mean error of TH1 histogram
 - Plot these mean+mean errors as a function of p_T
- Static distorted seeds typically have larger number of TPC layers found
- At low p_T there does not appear to be a systematic difference
 - Probably why the p_T resolution "spreads" out at higher p_T











more clusters found in layers 16-32

Layer Selections

Looking at layers 0-16 (left), 16-32 (middle), 32-48 (right) indicates typically



- No indication of any increase/decrease of the number of wrong clusters associated to tracks \bullet
- \bullet induced -> static distortions
- case, but the seeding missing actual clusters that belong to the track

There is a systematic decrease in the number of true clusters associated to the tracks going from no -> beam

• This suggests the decrease in the pT resolution is not from the larger number of clusters per event in static distorted



TPC Layer Evaluation

- TPC layer evaluation shows similar story to nTPC clusters
- Makes sense since these are two pion events, there will not be extraneous clusters



• For completeness, ntrutpc<n> show similar results to ntpc<n>



- Clusters associated to track seeds look similar to all clusters \bullet
- Unsurprising given these are 2 track events

Conclusions

- Cluster finding with distortions
 - Beam induced distortions don't appear to create a significant number of additional clusters
 Static distortions create a nontrivial number of additional clusters in TPC. Changes the
 - Static distortions create a nontrivial num shape of clusters as a function of layer
- Track finding with distortions
 - Most likely candidate for momentum degradation is loss of a few clusters in track seeding. See page 21
 - So seems to be an issue in the way the CA seeder handles the distortions
 - Next step is to understand if there is systematic behavior in which cluster(s) are lost